Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,396	MIEHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GORDON J. STOCK JR	2877
All Participants: Status of Application: after nonfinal		
(1) GORDON J. STOCK JR.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Mary Olynick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2008	Time: <u>4:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2-4, 6, 11, 20, 24, 44,45  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  An amendment to the claims was discussed to overcome any grammatical errors, objections due to lack of antecedent basis, or possible indefiniteness. See attached Examiner's Amendment.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Gordon J Stock/ Examiner, Art Unit 2877 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)